48. Ramkesh Pandey

49. Sunil Das

50. S. D. Pandey

51. Tiwary Singh & Bros.

**Bad quality of coal supplied by CIL**

3273. SHRI SAMAR MUKHERJEE: Will the Minister of ENERGY be pleased to state;

(a) what is the number of complaints received by the CIL from the public sector units on the quality of coal during the last five years, year-wise and unit-wise;

(b) what is the amount which was paid as the penalty for compensation for supplying bad quality of coal, during the last five years, year-wise and unit-wise;

(c) what are the figures of compensation paid to the private sector-and

(d) what steps are being taken to stop such supply of bad quality of coal?

THE MINISTER OF ENERGY (SHRI VASANT SATHE); (a) to (d) The information is being collected and will be laid On the Table of the House.

**Payment to contractual warkers in Loyabad colliery**

3274. SHRI SAMAR MUKHERJEE: Will the Minister of ENERGY be pleased to refer to the answer to Un-starred Question 1424 given in the Rajya Sabha on the 4th May, 1987 and state; .

(a) the exact dates and places -where the payments to the contrac-tual .workers in the Loyabad colliery were made and the names of the officers supervising the payments, during the last six months;

(b) whether the payments were made under direct supervision on the spot where payments were being made, or whether signatures were merely obtained on the registers prepared by the contractors in the office;

(c) whether the officers in the BCCL in general and Loyabad in particular are in league with the contractors, inviting prosecution by the Labour Department; if so, what are the de tails of such cases in the Court as on 1st July, 1987; and

(d) what steps are taken to enforce laws for contractual workers in BCCL?

THE MINISTER OF ENERGY (SHRI VASANT SATHE): (a) to (d) The information is being collected and will be laid on the Table of the House.

**Contract system in Eastern Coalfield**

3275. SHRI SAMAR MUKHERJEE: Will the Minister of ENERGY be pleased to state;

(a) whether Government's attention has been drawn to the Agreement dated 23rd March, 1985 between the General Manager, Kapasara Area of Eastern Coalfield Limited and the Contractor M/s. Ravi Udyog, Dhanbad on "Hiring of H.E.M.M."

(b) whether the contract was based not on charges for the use of machine but on the amount of work done under the contract system;

(c) whether the contract was in violation of the existing laws prohibiting the use of contract system in the collieries both for raising coal and removing overburden; if so what steps are being taken in regard thereto-and

(ti) whether there could be a short Tender Notice' in such a contract as mentioned in the Agreement if so, what are the reasons therefor; if not, what steps have been taken in regard thereto?